



STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY  
DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS

[www.corp.ca.gov](http://www.corp.ca.gov)

---

**Gray Davis**  
*Governor*

**Demetrios A. Boutris**  
*California Corporations Commissioner*

---

**DATE: November 14, 2002**

**RELEASE No. 61-C (Revised)**

---

This release supersedes Releases 4-C (Revised), 2-F (Revised), and previous versions of Release 61-C. This release provides answers to **frequently asked questions** concerning: interpretive opinion requests pursuant to Corporations Code Section 25618 of the Corporate Securities Law of 1968 ("CSL"), Corporations Code Section 29546 of the California Commodity Law of 1990 ("CCL"), Corporations Code Section 31510 of the Franchise Investment Law ("FIL"), Corporations Code Section 28952 of the Capital Access Company Law ("CACL"), and Financial Code Section 50312 of the California Residential Mortgage Lending Act ("CRMLA"); determination requests under Corporations Code Section 25014.6(b)(2); and specific ruling requests under Financial Code Section 12300 of the Check Sellers, Bill Payers and Proraters Law ("CSBP&PL") and Financial Code Section 22150 of the California Finance Lenders Law ("CFL").

**1. What is an interpretive opinion?**

An interpretive opinion (including a determination and a specific ruling) sets forth a written answer to resolve an unsettled question of law within the scope of responsibility of the Department of Corporations ("Department").

**2. Who issues an interpretive opinion?**

The California Corporations Commissioner ("Commissioner") issues interpretive opinions, through the Department's Office of Law and Legislation.

**3. Why do public members request an interpretive opinion?**

A public member named in the interpretive opinion may be protected from liability for acts or omissions done in good faith reliance upon the interpretive opinion. See Corporations Code Section 25700, for example.

**4. When will the Commissioner not render an interpretive opinion?**

Existing law (including Title 10, California Code of Regulations, Section 250.12) provides the Commissioner with discretion to render interpretive opinions. Depending on the request for an interpretive opinion, the Commissioner may decline to exercise that discretion. Examples of interpretive opinion requests that may be declined by the Commissioner include the following: (1) the request raises an issue that may be

answered by reviewing applicable law, rules of the Commissioner, previous opinions and releases, or other legal resources (public members should consult with private legal counsel to assist with an interpretive opinion request); (2) the request fails to specify the parties involved in the transaction; (3) the request fails to set forth a legal analysis applying relevant law to the facts; (4) the request fails to include relevant facts or documents; (5) the request fails to set forth a specific legal question; (6) the request involves primarily a question of fact; (7) the request raises a question involving a past transaction, a violation of law, ongoing litigation or an enforcement matter; (8) the request is from a person who cannot rely on the interpretive opinion; (9) the request raises an issue beyond the scope of laws administered by the Department; (10) the request involves an advisory or hypothetical opinion; or (11) the request fails to include complete information, as described below.

#### **5. How does a public member request an interpretive opinion?**

Persons requesting an interpretive opinion should: (1) address the request to the Commissioner in care of the Office of Law and Legislation at the Department's Sacramento Office, 1515 K Street, Suite 200, Sacramento, CA 95814; (2) specifically state that the letter is a request for an interpretive opinion (if only informal guidance is desired, the request should so indicate); (3) name the principal parties to the transaction; (4) submit the request in writing, specify each legal question presented, and describe all relevant facts and circumstances (when appropriate, enclose relevant documents such as copies of agreements or offering materials); (5) include an objective legal analysis with a reasoned conclusion, for each question (based on applicable law and regulations, previous opinions, state and federal court decisions, no-action letters issued by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and other similar documents); and (6) make any confidentiality request in accordance with Section 250.10, Title 10, California Code of Regulations.

Office of Law and Legislation staff are available to answer questions concerning interpretive opinions. For further assistance, contact this office at (916) 322-3553.

DEMETRIOS A. BOUTRIS  
California Corporations Commissioner

By \_\_\_\_\_  
TIMOTHY L. Le BAS  
Deputy Commissioner and General Counsel  
Office of Law and Legislation  
(916) 322-3977